

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

09/033,909 03/03/98 KIKUCHI

KIKUCHI=2

001444 IM22/0223 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300

WASHINGTON DC 20001-5303

COLE,E

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1771

DATE MAILED:

02/23/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or pr ceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

لمذار



Advisory Action

Application No. 09/033,909

Applicant(s)

Kikuchi

Examiner

Elizabeth M. Cole

Group Art Unit 1771



| THE | PERI | DD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)] | |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
| i | 1) [| expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | |
| | o) 🔀 | expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. | |
| 0 | late on letermi alculat | ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ed from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. | |
| | Appell period | ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a). | |
| Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed onFeb_14, 2000—_has been-considered with-the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: | | | |
| X | The pi | oposed amendment(s): | |
| | | Il be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief. | |
| | | Il not be entered because: | |
| | X | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below). | |
| | | they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below). | |
| | | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. | |
| | | they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | |
| | NO | TE: <u>The proposed amendment inserts the limitation that the fixing portions includes auxiliary fixing means. This limitation has not been searched/considered and therefore the amendment has not been entered.</u> | |
| | □ A - | oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): | |
| | Newl sepa | y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. | |
| | The a | iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: | |
| | | | |
| | The a | iffidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by xaminer in the final rejection. | |
| X | For p | urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): | |
| | | ns allowed: none | |
| | | ns objected to: none | |
| | | ns rejected: 13-26 | |
| | | proposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved by the Examiner. | |
| | Note | the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). | |
| | Othe | Elizafoth M. Cole ENZABETH M. COLE PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1771 | |